BUREAU OF LAW

MEMORANDUM

Stationer, Joseph

TO:

State Tax Camplesies

FROM:

Vincent P. Molineaux, Hearing Officer

SUBJECT: Joseph Schieve - Application for Revision or Refund of Personal Income Tax Under Article 16 of the Tax Law for the Year 1939

A hearing on the above matter was held before me at 80 Centre Street, New York, N. Y. on September 21, 1967.

The question at issue is the timeliness of filing application for revision or refund.

The Income Tax Bureau on September 19, 1961 issued Assessment No. FA 91305 in the amount of \$633.67 based upon field audit showing, income from partnership understated, \$331,70; deduction for empludible sick pay overstated, \$8,701.29; and decrease in medical deduction by reason of increase in income, \$451.64.

Application for revision verified September 23, 1962, and received by the State Tax Commission subsequent thereto, was denied by letter dated February 10, 1965 on the ground that the application had been made after the expiration of the one year from the date of recomputation provided in Section 374 of the Tax Law

In his application for revision the tampayer stated that no final determination had been reached on Pederal audit. After several inquiries were addressed to the tempayer he endorsed on a Department letter of September 21, 1964 that his adjusted Federal tax was \$685.19 and enclosed one page of Pederal Form 1909. The Pederal audit report dated April 9, 1964 showed income increased by \$11,233.30 including sick pay exclusion disallowerse of \$9,801.25 or slightly more than the State disallowerse, but allowed deductions of \$8,118 including \$8,000 withdrawn by the tampayer from his business when there was actually no surplus, and evreneously reported as income. However, in addition to late filing of the application for revision tempayer also failed to report Federal changes within the 90 days required by Tax Lou Section 167(2) thanges The application for refund blood upon the Federal Peper of thanges is, therefore, untimely. (20 NYURE, Section 270.21(4))

Based upon the foregoing, I recommend that the determination of the State Tax Gommission in the above matter denying the application for revision or refund be substantially in the form submitted herewith.

/s/	VINCENT P. MOLINEAUX
	Hearing Officer

VPM:do/10

Dec.

December 16, 1968

Lent to Commission 1/22/69

STATE OF REW YORK STATE TAX CONSTRAINS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

60

Justin surreye

POR MUTATON OR MATTER OF PRINCIPAL MATERIAL LA OF SHIP

Joseph Sublavo having filed an application for revision or refund of personal income tax accepted under Article 16 of the Sax Law for the year 1959 and a hearing having been held Suptember 21, 1967, at 80 Centre Street, New York, N. Y. before Vincent 7. Malineaux, Searing Officer of the Supertment of Santilen and Finance, and the record having been duly examined and considered,

The State Tax Counteston hereby Sinds:

- (1) 1986 on Augtonber 19, 1961, the Income Tax Darwin Assured Assessment No. NA 91305 in the encount of \$633.67 based upon field audit showing income from partnership understands, \$531.70; deduction for employable alex pay everytaked, \$6,703.29; and decrease in medical deduction by reason of increase in income, \$451.64.
- (2) That application for revision vertical September 23, 1962 and received by the State Tax Commission subsequent thereto was denied by letter dated February 10, 1963 on the ground that the application had been made after the explication of the one year from the time of recomputation provided in Section 374 of the Tax Inc.
- (3) That in his application for revision the tamperer stated that no final determination had been reached on Federal swill and that after sevillal impriries addressed to the tampeyer

and enclosed one page of Federal Form 1909.

- (4) that the Federal audit report dated April 9, 2900 showed increased by stak pay emphasion of \$9,502.05, contributions \$350, modical expenses \$72.05, onto end embertains much \$1,020 squinet which there was deducted the original expense reported of \$4,606.20 for a total increase of \$12.233.30.
- (5) That the Judanal also allowed further dedections of \$115.51 for real estate tames and \$5,000 withdraws from the beatment by temporary and erromountly reported by him as income when in fact there being no surplus the ensure draws was a capital withdraws and not supertable as income, leaving a Judania not increase of \$3,114.49.
- (6) That the Federal changes were not reported as required by Tax Inv Section 367(2) until Herenber 30, 1964.

 Insel upon the foresting findings the State Tax

Countesion hereby

- (A) That the application for revision or refund of Assessment No. 7A 91305 is untimely since it was not filed within one year as required by Section 374 of the The law.
- (3) That the application for refund based upon the Federal and it report to untimely since the report of Federal changes use not reported within 90 days required by Section 267(2) of the Max Inv (80 MSCML, Section 270.21(4)).
- (C) That the application for revision or refund to hereby distinged.

	Albany,	Took	Wate	24th	of	January	Ď	1969.
•			•		3 5 1			

/s/	JOSEPH H. MURPHY							
	DATE COLUMN							
/s/	A. BRUCE MANLEY							

The state of the s